some proportionality coefficient.
Choosing the coordinate axes $x_{i}$ coincident with the principal axes of the strain rate tensor, we obtain from (1.1) and the incompressibiltty condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i i}=\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}=u_{i, i}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

a system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { of equations }\left[u_{1}\right] v_{1}+\left[u_{2}\right] v_{2}+\left[u_{3}\right] v_{3}=0  \tag{1.3}\\
& {\left[u_{1}\right] v_{2}+\left[u_{2}\right] v_{1}=\left[u_{1}\right] v_{3}+\left[u_{3}\right] v_{1}=\left[u_{2}\right] v_{3}+\left[u_{3}\right] v_{2}=0} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs. (1.4) have nontrivial solutions in [ $u_{i}$ ] under the condition that $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}=0$. To be definite, let us assume $v_{\mathrm{y}}=0$, then it follows from (1.3), (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}= \pm 1 / 2 \sqrt{2}, v_{2}= \pm 1 / 2 \sqrt{2}, \quad\left[u_{3}\right]=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the discontinuous surfaces in the velocity coincide with the maximum shear surfaces (slip surfaces). The projection of the velocity vector on the principal direction in the tangent plane to the discontinuous surface is continuous upon passage through this surface.

Let us note that the slip surfaces for an arbitrary state of stress occur only under the Tresca plasticity conditions. For the rest of the plasticity conditions they are possible only for completely specific values of the stress deviator which will assure continuity of the stress deviator on the slip surface. From the continuity of the deviator and (0.4) we obtain that the stresses themselves are also continuous.

Slip surfaces along which the state of stress corresponds to the face of the Tresca plasticity condition are an exception. In this case the direction cosines of the principal axes of the stress tensor are continuous, and the mean principal stress tangent to the surface of discontinuity can undergo a discontinuity.

Let us turn to a determination of the relationship on the discontinuous surface $\Sigma$. The material experiances pure shear on the slip surface, hence, the strain rates are connected by the relationships [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i j}=\varepsilon_{i k} v_{k} v_{j}+\varepsilon_{j k} v_{k} v_{i} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The validity of (1.6) is seen easily by putting the coordinate axes coincident with the principal axes of the tensor $\varepsilon_{i j}$. We hence obtain

$$
\varepsilon_{i}+\varepsilon_{j}=0, \quad \varepsilon_{k}=0, \quad v_{i}= \pm v_{j}=1 / 2 \sqrt{2} \quad(i \neq i \neq k)
$$

Let us introduce a curvilinear $y_{1}, y_{2}$ coordinate system on the slip surface. Then the derivatives of the displacement velocities can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i, j}=u_{i, n} v_{j}+g^{\alpha \beta} u_{i, \alpha} x_{j, \beta} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g^{\alpha \beta}$ is the contravariant metric tensor of the surface, $x_{i}\left(y_{\alpha}\right)$ is its parametric equation, $u_{i, n}$ is the derivative of the vector $u_{i}$ with respect to the normali: $n$ to the slip surface.

Substituting (1.7) into (0.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 e_{i j}=u_{i, n} v_{j}+u_{j, n} v_{i}+g^{\alpha \beta}\left(u_{i, \alpha} x_{j, k}+u_{j, \alpha} x_{i, \beta}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilizing (1.2) and (1.8), we obtain from (1.6) a system of three linear differential equations [5] in $u_{i} \quad u_{i, \sigma} x_{i, \tau}+u_{i, \tau} x_{i, 0}=0$

Let us represent (1.9) somewhat differently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{i} x_{i, \tau}\right), \sigma+\left(u_{i} x_{i, \sigma}\right)_{, \tau}-2 u_{i} x_{i, \tau \sigma}=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note that the relationships

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i, \tau \sigma}=\frac{\delta x_{i, \tau}}{\delta y_{\sigma}}+x_{i, \alpha} \Gamma_{\tau \sigma}^{\alpha}, \quad \frac{\delta x_{i, \tau}}{\delta y_{\sigma}}=b_{\tau \sigma} v_{i}, \quad u_{1} x_{i, \tau}=u_{\tau} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.
Here $\delta x_{i, \tau} / \delta y_{\sigma}$ is the covariant derivative of the vector $x_{i, \tau}$ with respect to $y_{\sigma} ; \Gamma_{\tau \sigma}{ }^{x}$ is the Christoffel symbol corresponding to the metric of the surface, $b_{\alpha \beta}$ are coefficients of the second fundamental quadratic form of the surface. Utilizing (1.11), we obtain from (1.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\tau, \sigma}+u_{\sigma, \tau}-2 b_{\sigma \tau} u_{n}-2 \Gamma_{\sigma \tau} \alpha^{\alpha} u_{\alpha}=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{n}$ is the velocity vector component along the normal to the surface.
After passage from partial to covariant differentiation in (1.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta u_{\tau}}{\delta y_{\sigma}}+\frac{\delta u_{\sigma}}{\delta y_{\tau}}-2 b_{\sigma \tau} u_{n}=0 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the contravariant components of the velocity vector (1.13) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\sigma \alpha} \frac{\delta u^{\alpha}}{\delta y_{\tau}}+g_{\tau \alpha} \frac{\delta u^{\alpha}}{\delta y_{\sigma}}-2 b_{\sigma \tau} u_{n}=0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (1.14) by $g^{\sigma \tau}$, we obtain after summation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \Omega u_{n}=\delta u^{\alpha} / \delta y_{\alpha} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 \Omega=b_{\alpha \beta} g^{\alpha \beta}$ is the mean curvature of the slip surface. Eliminating $u_{n}$ from (1.14) by using (1.15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \frac{\delta u^{\alpha}}{\delta y_{\sigma}} g_{\tau \alpha}+\Omega \frac{\delta u^{\alpha}}{\delta y_{\tau}} g_{\sigma \alpha}-b_{\sigma \tau} \frac{\delta u^{\alpha}}{\delta y_{\alpha}}=0 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only two of the three equations in (1.16) are independent since we obtain an identity after convolution with $g^{\sigma \tau}$. Therefore, the relationships (1.16) define two homogeneous equations with two unknown variables and two unknown functions. The asymptotic directions of the slip surface are characteristic for the system (1.16), and it is hyperbolic, elliptic, or parabolic if the Gaussian curvature of the surface is negative, positive, or zero, respectively. If the characteristic lines are chosen as curvilinear coordinates on the surface, then taking into account that $b_{11}=b_{22}=0$ ([7], p. 281), relationships (1.16) become $g_{\tau \alpha} \delta u^{\alpha} / \delta y_{\tau}=0$ (not summed over $\tau$ ).

The relationships (1.12) hold on both sides of the velocity surface of discontinuity. Taking into account that the normal velocity component $u_{n}$ is contimuous, we obtain from (1.12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u_{\tau}\right]_{, \sigma}+\left[u_{\sigma}\right]_{, \tau}-2 \Gamma_{o \tau}^{\alpha}\left[u_{\alpha}\right]=0 \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us select an orthogonal system of curvilinear coordinates $y_{\alpha}$, and let us direct the $y_{2}$ axis along the principal axis in the tangent plane to the discontinuous surface. Taking into account that $\left[u_{2}\right]=0$, we have from (1.17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{22}^{1}\left[u_{1}\right]=0,\left[u_{1}\right]_{2}-\Gamma_{12}^{1}\left[u_{1}\right]=0,\left[u_{1}\right]_{1}-\Gamma_{11}^{1}\left[u_{1}\right]=0 \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Christoffel symbols in an orthogonal coordinate system are 「71:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{11}^{1}=\frac{1}{2 \xi_{11}} \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial y_{1}}, \quad \Gamma_{12}^{1}=\frac{1}{2 g_{11}} \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial y_{2}}, \quad \Gamma_{22}^{1}=-\frac{1}{2 g_{11}} \frac{\partial g_{22}}{\partial y_{1}} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking account of (1.19), we obtain from (1.18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{22}=\varphi_{1}\left(y_{2}\right), \quad\left[u_{1}\right]=\varphi_{2}\left(y_{1}\right) g_{11}=\varphi_{3}\left(y_{2}\right) \sqrt{g_{11}} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.20) that the geometry of the velocity surfaces of discontinuity should be such that the relationships

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{22}=\varphi_{1}\left(y_{2}\right), \quad g_{11}=\left\{\varphi_{3}\left(y_{2}\right) / \varphi_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\}^{2} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

will hold for $g_{11}$ and $g_{22}$ under the above-mentioned choice of curvilinear coordinates. Let us make a change of coordinates on the discontinuous surface by means of Formulas

$$
y_{1}=F_{1}\left(z_{1}\right), \quad y_{2}=F_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)
$$

The lines $y_{\alpha}=$ const hence go over into the lines $z_{\alpha}=$ const. If $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are selected so that $d F_{1} / d z_{1}=\varphi_{2},\left(d F_{2} / d z_{2}\right)^{2}=1 / \varphi_{1}$, then the metric tensor in the new coordinate system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{22}=1, \bar{g}_{11}=\varphi_{3}^{2}\left(F_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right) \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from ( 1.22 ) that the velocity surfaces of discontinuity can only be surfaces of rotation for any plasticity conditions except the edge of the Tresca plasticity condition, where one of the principal directions of the tensor $\sigma_{i j}$ coincides with the directions of the geodesic lines.

In the plane strain case the surface $\Sigma$ is a cylinder along whose generatrix $u_{2}=0$.
As the curves $y_{2}, y_{1}$, respectively, let us take the generators of this surface and orthogonal lines, ler $y_{a}$ be the distance along these lines measured from some point. The equalities
$g_{\alpha \beta}=g^{\alpha \beta}=1, \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}=0,2 \Omega=x_{1}=d \theta / d y_{1} u_{\alpha}=u^{\alpha}, \delta u_{\alpha} / \delta y_{\alpha}=d u_{\alpha} / d y_{\alpha}$ hold for such a choice of the curvilinear coordinate system.

Here $x_{1}$ is the curvature of the line $y_{2}=$ const. Then taking account of these equalities, we obtain the Geiringer relationship from (1.15)

$$
u_{1}-u_{n} d \theta=0
$$

and from (1.18) we obtain that $\left[u_{1}\right]=$ const on the slip surface.
If the state of stress corresponds to the edge of the Tresca flow surface, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3} \pm 2 k \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the direction of the third principal stress has been determined, and the directions of $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ remain undetermined. We represent the strain rates as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i j}=\varepsilon_{1} l_{i} l_{j}+\varepsilon_{2} m_{i} m_{j}+\varepsilon_{3} n_{i} n_{j} \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}$ are the principal values of the tensor $\varepsilon_{i j} ; \varepsilon_{i}, m_{i}, n_{i}$ are the direction cosines of its principal axes which satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i} l_{j}+m_{i} m_{j}+n_{i} n_{j}=\delta_{i j} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

As has been shown in [1 and 2], the system of equations for an ideal rigidly plastic body under the conditions ( 1.23 ) is statically determinate, i. e, the values of $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, n_{i}$ can be determined without involving (1.24). Hence, in examining the relationship (1.24) we will assume the $n_{i}$ known, and the $l_{i}, m_{i}$ undetermined, but satisfying the conditions (1.25); where to satisfy the associated flow law it is necessary and sufficient to determine the tensor $\varepsilon_{i j}$ so that the relationships

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon_{3}=0, \quad\left|\varepsilon_{3}\right| \geqslant\left|\varepsilon_{1}\right|, \quad\left|\varepsilon_{3}\right| \geqslant\left|\varepsilon_{2}\right| \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

would hold.
Multiplying (1.24) by $n_{i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i j} n_{j}=\varepsilon_{3} n_{i} \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{3}=\varepsilon_{k} n_{k} n_{j}$, the relationships (1.27) are written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i j} n_{j}=\varepsilon_{h j} n_{k} n_{j} n_{i} \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only two of the Eqs. (1.28) are independent since the system (1.28) convoluted with $n_{i}$ becomes an identity. We obtain the third independent equation from (1.24) by equa-
ting the subscripts $i$ and $j$, and taking account of (1.26). We hence have $\varepsilon_{i i}=0$.
Consequently, the kinematic relationships for the total plasticity condition can be written by using (0.3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i, i}=0, u_{i, j} n_{j}+u_{j, i} n_{j}=2 u_{k r} n_{k} n_{r} n_{i} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the total plasticity condition $(1,23)$ hold on both sides of the velocity surface of discontinuity $\Sigma$. Because of the continuity of the stresses on this surface, the quantities are continuous, and we obtain from (1.29)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u_{i, i}\right]=0,\left[u_{i, j}\right] n_{j}+\left[u_{j, i}\right] n_{j}=2\left[u_{k, r}\right] n_{k} n_{r} n_{i} \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the geometric relationships (1.7) that the jumps in the partial derivatives of the velocity on the discontinuous surface $\Sigma$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u_{i, j}\right]=B_{i} v_{j}+g^{\alpha \beta}\left[u_{i}\right],{ }_{\alpha} x_{j, \beta}, \quad B_{i}=\left[C_{i}\right] \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting their values from (1.31) in place of $\left[u_{i j}\right]$ in (1.30) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{i} v_{i}+g^{\alpha \beta}\left[u_{i}\right]_{, \alpha} x_{i, \beta}=0  \tag{1.32}\\
\left(B, v_{j}+B, v_{i}\right) n_{j}+g^{\alpha \beta}\left(\left[u_{i}\right]_{, \alpha} x_{j, \beta}+\left[u_{j}\right]_{, \alpha} x_{i, \beta}\right) n_{j}= \\
=2 B_{k} n_{k} n_{r} v_{r} n_{i}+2 g^{\alpha \beta}\left[u_{k}\right]_{, \alpha} n_{k} x_{r, \beta} n_{r} n_{i} \tag{1.33}
\end{gather*}
$$

Eliminating the quantities $B_{i}$ from (1.32), (1.33), and taking into account that $n_{i} v_{i}=1 / 2 \sqrt{2}$ on the velocity surface of discontinuity, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2 g^{\alpha \beta}}\left[u_{i}\right]_{, \alpha} x_{j, \beta} n_{j} v_{i}-g^{\alpha \beta}\left[u_{i}\right], \alpha_{\alpha} x_{i, \beta}=2 g^{\alpha \beta}\left[u_{k}\right]_{, \alpha} n_{k} x_{r, \beta} n_{r} \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the projections of the velocity vector discontinuity on the principal direction in the tangent plane to the discontinuous surface equals zero, the vector [ $u_{l}$ ] is in the same plane as the vectors $n_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{i}$. Hence, taking account of the continuity of the normal component of the velocity vector to the surface $\Sigma$, we can represent $\left[u_{1}\right]$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u_{i}\right]=\left(\sqrt{2} n_{i}-v_{i}\right) V \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V\left(y_{i}, y_{2}\right)$ is a function characterizing the intensity of the velocity vector discontinuity.

Substituting its value from (1.35) in place of $\left[u_{i}\right]$ in (1.34), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sqrt{2} g^{\alpha \beta} V_{, \alpha} n_{i} x_{i, \beta}+g^{\alpha \beta}\left(\sqrt{2} n_{i, \alpha}-v_{i, \alpha}\right) x_{i, \beta} V=0 \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (1.36) defines the connection of the intensity in the velocity discontinuity to the geometric characteristics of the surface $\Sigma$.

Since $n_{i} v_{i}=1 / 2 \sqrt{2}$, the following holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\alpha \beta}\left(\sqrt{2} n_{i, \alpha}-v_{i, \alpha}\right) x_{i, \beta}=\sqrt{2} g^{\alpha \beta}\left\{\left(n_{i} x_{i, \beta}\right), \alpha-\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\sigma} x_{i, \sigma} n_{i}\right\} \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us select orthogonal curvilinear coordinates such that $y_{1}$ would coincide with the direction of the vector $\left[u_{j}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial y_{1}}=\left(\frac{g_{11}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad n_{i} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial y_{2}}=0 \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking account of $(1.19)$, (1.38) and (1.37), let us transform (1.36) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 g_{22} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y_{1}}+V \frac{\partial g_{22}}{\partial y_{1}}=0 \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

After integrating (1.39), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{22}\right)^{1 / 4} V=\left(g_{22}{ }^{0}\right)^{1 / 4} V_{0} \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{0}$ and $g_{22}{ }^{0}$ are the values of $V$ and $g_{22}$ at some point on the line $y_{2}=$ const.
Therefore, for a state of stress corresponding to the edge of the prism of the Tresca plasticity condition, the surfaces of velocity discontinuity can be of arbirtary shape, on which the integral $(1,40)$ holds along a streamline of the vector $\left[u_{i}\right]$.

For any other plasticity condition, including even the Tresca faces, surfaces of velocity discontinuity are possible but they must be surfaces superposable on surfaces of revolution.
2. Let us consider the strain rate surface of discontinuity. On both sides of the surface $G$ on which the displacement velocities are continuous but the strain rates undergo discontinuity, let the state of stress correspond to the smooth section of the plasticity condition. According to the results in [8], the strain rates are continuous on the surface of stress discontinuity. An exception is a face of the Tresca prism.

In this case the strain rates may undergo a discontinuity on the stress discontinuous surface; however, the direction cosines of the principal axes of the stress tensor will be continuous. Hence, it follows that the stresses are continuous on the strain rate discontinous surface, with the possible exception of the Tresca face.

We consequently obtain from ( 0.3 )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varepsilon_{i j}\right]=[\lambda] f_{i j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system of equations (2.1) holds even for the face of the Tresca plasticity condition since in this case the quantity $f_{i j}$ depends only on the direction cosines of the principal axes of the stress tensor, which are continuous. From ( 0.3 ) and (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varepsilon_{i j}\right]={ }^{1} / 2\left(\left[u_{i, j}\right]+\left[u_{f_{0}}\right]\right)=[\lambda] f_{i j} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the displacement velocities are continuous, then $\left[u_{1, j}\right]=B_{i} v_{j}$, and (2.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i} v_{j}+B_{j_{0}} v_{l}=2[\lambda] f_{i j} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Selecting the coordinate axes to coincide with the principal axes of the stress tensor, we obtain from (2.3) and the incompressibility condition (1.2)

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1} v_{1}+B_{2} v_{2}+B_{3} v_{3}=0  \tag{2.4}\\
B_{1} v_{2}+B_{2} v_{1}=B_{1} v_{3}+B_{3} v_{1}=B_{2} v_{3}+B_{3} v_{2}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Comparing (2.4), (2.5) with (1.3), (1.4), we note that they will agree if the quantity [ $u_{i}$ ] is substituted instead of $B_{i}$ in (2.4), (2.5). Hence, all the properties obtained for the discontinuous surfaces of the displacement velocities will also hold for the discontinuous surfaces of the strain rates. In particular, the strain rate discontinuous surfaces coincide with the surfaces of maximum shear, one of the principal directions of the tensor $\sigma_{i j}$ and the vector $B_{i}$ lie in the tangent plane to the surface $G$ and are mutually orthogonal.

Let us find differential relationships for the strain rate discontinuities along the surface $G$. Let the state of stress satisfy the Tresca face. Then the relationships of the associated flow law (2.2) can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i j}=\lambda\left(m_{i} m_{j}-n_{i} n_{j}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note that the quantities $m_{i}, n_{i} l_{i}$ are continuous on the surface $G$ and satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i} n_{i}=m_{i} m_{i}=l_{i} l_{i}=1, \quad n_{i} m_{i}=n_{i} l_{i}=l_{i} m_{i}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce into the considerations

$$
M_{i}=\frac{d m_{i}}{d n}, \quad N_{i}=\frac{d n_{i}}{d n}, \quad L_{i}=\frac{d l_{i}}{d n}
$$

It then follows from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{i} m_{i}=N_{i} n_{i}=L_{i} l_{i}=0, \quad M_{i} l_{i}+L_{i} m_{i}=0 \\
N_{i} l_{i}+L_{i} n_{i}=0, N_{i} m_{i}+M_{i} n_{i}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

i. e. the vectors $N_{i}, M_{i}, L_{i}$ are orthogonal to the vectors $n_{i}, m_{i}, l_{i}$, respectively, and are representable as

$$
N_{i}=-N m_{i}+L l_{i}, \quad M_{i}=N n_{i}+M l_{i}, \quad L_{i}=-M m_{i}-L n_{i}(2.8)
$$

It follows from (2.6) that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\varepsilon_{i j, k}\right] v_{k}=B\left(m_{i} m_{j}-n_{i} n_{j}\right)+[\lambda]\left(m_{i}\left\langle M_{j}\right\rangle+\left\langle M_{i}\right\rangle m_{j}-n_{i}\left\langle N_{j}\right\rangle-\right.} \\
\left.-\left\langle N_{i}\right\rangle n_{j}\right)+\langle\lambda\rangle\left(m_{i}\left[M_{j}\right]+\left[M_{i}\right] m_{j}-n_{i}\left[N_{j}\right]-\left[N_{i}\right] n_{j}, B=[d \lambda / d n]\right. \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

Here the symbol $<\ldots>$ denotes the mean value of the appropriate quantities; the identity $[a b]=\langle a\rangle[b]+[a]\langle b\rangle$ is used in the derivation. Using the second order geometric compatibility conditions [3]

$$
\left[u_{i, j k}\right]=A_{i} v_{j} v_{k}+g^{\alpha \beta} B_{i, \alpha}\left(x_{j, \beta} v_{k}+x_{k, \beta} v_{j}\right)-B_{i} g^{\alpha \beta} g^{\circ \tau} b_{\alpha 0} x_{j, \xi} x_{k, \tau}
$$

and taking account of (2.8), we obtain from (2.9)

$$
\left(A_{i}=d^{2} u_{i} / d n^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i} v_{j}+A_{j} v_{i}+g^{\alpha \beta}\left(B_{i, \alpha} x_{j, \beta}+B_{j, \alpha} x_{i, \beta}\right)=2\left\{B\left(m_{i} m_{j}-n_{i} n_{j}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+P\left(m_{i} n_{j}+n_{i} m_{j}\right)+Q\left(m_{i} l_{j}+m_{j} l_{i}\right)-R\left(n_{i} l_{j} \cdot \dot{-} l_{i} n_{j}\right)\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

$P=2([N]\langle\lambda\rangle+\langle N\rangle\langle[\lambda]\rangle), Q=[\lambda]\langle M\rangle+[M[\langle\lambda\rangle, R=[\lambda]\langle L\rangle+[L]\langle\lambda\rangle$
Equating the subscripts $i$ and $j$ in (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i} v_{i}+g^{\alpha \beta} B_{i, \alpha} x_{i, \beta}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

After multiplying (2.10) by $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ and taking account of $(2.11)$, we obtain
$A_{i}=g^{\alpha \beta} B_{k, \alpha}\left(x_{k, \beta} v_{i}-x_{i, \beta} v_{k}\right)+\sqrt{2}\left\{B\left(m_{i}-n_{i}\right)+P\left(m_{i}+n_{i}\right)+(Q-R) l_{i}\right\}$
It has here been taken into account that on the surface $G$

$$
n_{i} v_{i}=m_{i} v_{i}=1 / 2 \sqrt{2,} \quad l_{i} v_{i}=0
$$

Let us note that the vector $v_{i}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.v_{i}=1 / 2 \sqrt{2\left(m_{i}\right.}+n_{i}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating the $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ from (2.10) by utilizing (2.12), and taking account of (2.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{\alpha \beta} B_{k, \alpha}\left\{2 x_{k, \beta} v_{i} v_{j}-\left(x_{i, \beta} v_{j}+x_{j, \beta} v_{i}\right) v_{k}\right\}+g^{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{i, \alpha} B_{j, \beta}+x_{j, \alpha} B_{i, \beta}\right)= \\
& =-2 P\left(m_{i} m_{j}+n_{i} n_{j}\right)+(Q+R)\left(m_{i} l_{j}+l_{i} m_{j}-l_{i} n_{j}-l_{j} n_{i}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Only three of the six Eqs. $(2,14)$ are independent, since they reduce to one equation after the subscripts $i$ and $j$ have been equalized, or after having heen multiplied by $\boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$.

To determine the independent equations, let us multiply (2.14) by $x_{i \sigma} x_{j, \tau}$ whence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{i, \tau} x_{i, \sigma}+B_{i, \sigma} x_{i, \tau}=-2 P\left(m_{i} m_{j}+n_{i} n_{j}\right) x_{i, \tau} x_{j, \sigma}+  \tag{2.15}\\
& \quad+(Q+R)\left(m_{i} l_{j}+m_{j} l_{i}-n_{i} l_{j}-n_{j} l_{i}\right) x_{i, \tau} x_{j \sigma}
\end{align*}
$$

Transforming the left side of (2.15) by the scheme for the transformation of (1.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{\tau, \sigma}+B_{\sigma, \tau}-2 \Gamma_{\tau \sigma}^{\alpha} B_{\alpha}=-2 P\left(m_{i} m_{j}+n_{l} n_{j}\right) x_{i, \tau} x_{j, \sigma}+ \\
+(Q+R)\left(m_{i} l_{j}+l_{i} m_{j}-n_{i} l_{j}-n_{j} l_{i}\right) x_{i, \tau} x_{j, \sigma} \tag{2.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us represent the vectors $x_{i, \tau}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial y_{1}}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sqrt{g_{11}}\left(n_{i}-n_{i}\right), \quad \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial y_{2}}=\sqrt{g_{32}} l_{i} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curvilinear mesh $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, y_{2}$ has here been chosen orthogonal, hence the directions of $B_{i}$ and $y_{1}$ coincide.

Substituting (2.17) into (2.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}-\Gamma_{11}^{1} B_{1}=-P g_{11}, \Gamma_{22}^{1}=0, \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial y_{2}}=2 \Gamma_{12}^{1} B_{1}+(Q+R)\left(2 g_{11} g_{22}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the second equation of $(2.18)$ and $(1.19)$ we obtain that

$$
g_{22}=g_{22}\left(y_{2}\right)
$$

It hence follows that the intermediate principal axes of the tensor $\sigma_{i j}$ coincide with the directions of the geodesic lines on the strain rate syrface of discontinuity.
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